Case-4::10-cr-00525-SBA Document:27 Filed:01/19/11 Page:11of:33

1	Federal Public Defender COLLEEN MARTIN	
2		
3	Suite 650 4 Oakland, CA 94607-3627	
4		
5	Telephone: (510) 637-3500	
6	Counsel for Defendant MILLANES	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-00525 SBA
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER
13	vs.) Date: January 20, 2011) Time: 9:30 a.m.) Courtroom: 4
14	RODEL MILLANES,	
15	Defendant.	
16		_/
17	The above-captioned matter is set on January 20, 2011 before the Honorable Donna M.	
18	Ryu for a change of plea. The parties jointly request that this Court continue the matter to	
19	January 27, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. for a change of plea. The parties further request that the Court	
20	exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), between	
21	January 20, 2011 and January 27, 2011.	
22	Mr. Millanes is charged in a one-count indictment with a violation of 18 U.S.C. 1038(a) -	
23	False Information and Hoaxes. He has not yet made his initial appearance before the district	
24	court. The status of the matter is that the government has provided the defense with discovery,	
25	and both the defense and the government are conducting investigation. In addition, the defense i	
26	performing legal research on issues relating to the charged offense in order to effectively prepare	
	U.S. v. Millanes, CR 10-525 SBA Stipulation and [Proposed] Order	1

Casc-4:10-cr-00525-SBA Document27 Filed01/19/11 Page22of33

1 this case. Finally, the parties anticipate a plea agreement, but the agreement is not yet finalized. 2 The requested continuance will allow the parties time to perform investigation, will 3 permit the defense time to complete the necessary legal research, and will permit the parties to 4 finalize their plea agreement. The failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny 5 counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise 6 of due diligence. 7 The parties further stipulate and agree that the time between January 20, 2011 and 8 January 27, 2011, should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 9 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), on the basis that the ends of justice are served by taking such action 10 which outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and also under 11 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the 12 exercise of due diligence. 13 14 DATED: January 19, 2011 15 Special Assistant United States Attorney 16 DATED: January 19, 2011 17 Assistant Federal Public Defender 18 19 **ORDER** 20 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, and pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, IT IS 21 HEREBY ORDERED that the status date in this case, currently scheduled for January 20, 2011, 22 before the duty magistrate judge is VACATED and RESET to January 27, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. 23 for a status or change of plea before the duty magistrate judge. 24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from January 20, 2011, to January 27, 2011, 25 should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 26 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) for adequate preparation of counsel. The Court finds that the ends of

Casse4::10-cr-00525-SBA Document:27 Filed:01/19/11 Page:3306f33

1	justice served by the granting of the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the	
2	defendant in a speedy and public trial and the failure to grant the requested continuance would	
3	unreasonably deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into	
4	account due diligence, given the need for the parties to conduct investigation and the need for the	
5	defense to conduct legal research.	
6	SO ORDERED.	
7	Down	
8	DATED: 1/19/11 DONNA M. RYU	
9	United States Magistrate Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		